

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/627,565	LIM ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sylvia R MacArthur	1763	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Resp. NF

(1) Sylvia R MacArthur.

(3) _____.

(2) Jerome Marger.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4 February 2005

Time: 1:30 p.m.

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
1


Prior art documents discussed:
none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Exr. MacArthur contacted Atty. Marger to discuss the response mailed 11/15/2004. She informed Mr. Marger that the amendment of claim 1 did not entail the allowable subject matter of claims 7-15 as purposed. She recommended that Mr. Marger include the limitations in their entirety. The limitation of claim 8 would be included in claim 1 and claim 8 cancelled. See the attached amendment for clarification.